Not



Cowpea residues of two verties of Mississippi silveand pink eye purple hulls were harvested and dried before used forathidy. The residues from two varieties were mixed, chopped and moistened with 40% unother of water and 5% molasses solution. This mix was packed and ensiled for 30 days prior to usitage treatment. Cowpea residues were sprayed with 40% volume waterion plus 5% molasses daily and were used ashay treatment. Both treatments we pressed with 100 grams of soybean meal to meet all the requirements fooyath of young Angora goats. Eight Angora goats with average body weight of 21.6 kg (47.5 lbse)re randomly divided into two groups of four animals each and were assed to one of the two treatmentisagegroup or hay group. Animals were fed the spective diets for 10 days | flowed by 5 days of total collection digestion trial. Feed offeterefusals and fecal output was monitored throughout the experiment. Table 3 represtings the composition of the cowpea residues hay and silage. Cowpea residue seehs ad higher protein content and lower fiber (neutral detergent fiber (NDF), herellulose and lignin). Silage is partially fermented forage and cowpea residues were oved in nutritive quality by process of fermentation that was enhanced by mola**ase**smoisture. Ferm**ter**tion has partially digested fiber and resulted in lower NDF in the final product.

Table 3. Chemical composition of the experimental diets

Intake and Digestion

Body weight, dry matter intake and apparedigrestibility of the diets fed to goats in this study are presented Table 4. Animals used were Angora goats. According to NRC 2007, the estimated dry matter intakealtdigestible nutrients and protein requirements for 20 kg (44 lbs.) goat gain 20 grams of body weight per day and producing 4 grams of mohairæ680 grams (1.5 lbs.) of dry matter, 450 grams (1 lb.) of total digestible nutrients and 65 gramspoottein. According to Table 4, animals on silage diet consumed 605 grams (1.34) llof dry matter, slightly below the requirements. Considering dry matter didestry of 54.2%, totaldigestible nutrients (328 grams) fall below the required amoun#50 grams per day. According to Table 3 and 4, silage contained 6.61% proteind provided approximately 40 grams (605 x 0.0661) of protein per animal per day and didmet the requirements of the animals; however, all animals were supplemented with grams of soybean meal (contains almost 50% CP on dry matter basis) three r supplied (40 + 50 = 90 grams) the 65 grams of required protein. Cowpea residue top sprayed witwater and molasses solution had lower intake when compared ilage. Cowpea residues when fed as hay had also lower digestibility of dry matteand fiber for optimum goat production; however, when ensiled for 30 days withtera(40%), and 5% molasses solution the quality was improved. Ensiling improved matter intake, dry matter and fiber digestibility of cowpea residues.

Table 4. Dry matter intake andparent digestibility of expremental diets fed to goats

| | Cowpea Residue | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Items | Silagé | Seed-harvested vines |
| | | |
| Body Weight, kg | 21.6 | 21.6 ^a |
| Dry Matter Intake, g | 60°5 | 39₽ |
| Digestibility (%) | | |
| Dry Matter | 54.2ª | 37.5 ^b |
| Crude Protein (CP) | 67. ^a 4 | 71.4 ^a |
| Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) | 53 ^a .0 | 49.7 ^a |
| Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) | 49. ² 7 | 34.1 ^b |
| Cellulose | 52.წ | 42.7 ^b |

DM = dry matter, CP = crude protein, ADFacid detergent fiber, NDF = Neutral

detergent fiber.

Source: NRC, 2007.

Conclusion

Cowpea seed-harvested vines are foddreaming in the field after removal of

peas. Although cowpea planted as hay is highlityuforage, its resides after harvest of

peas have low protein content and dry madteestibility when fed to goats. However,

when these residues are moisten with wated molasses and ensiled for 30 days, the

product has slightly higher one content, higher dry matter intake and digestibility

because of improved fiber digestibility in thitage. Microbial fermentation in the silage

increases fiber digestibility and thereformeroves intake. Seed-harvested cowpea vines

when harvested and dried are low quality operaind shouldn't beoresidered to sustain

high producing animals. Cowpea vines should seed as a part of the forage portion of

the diet when fed to goats.

References

Kansas Rural Center. 1998. Cowpeas. Sous baie Agriculture Management Guides.

MG1H.1.

NRC. 2007. Nutrient Requirements of Smalln ants; Sheep, Goats, Cervids and New

World Camelids. The National Acaders Press, Washington, D. C.

USDA Census. 2002. Census of Agricult(www.nass.usda.gov/census/census02/)

All inquiries should be addressed to:

Dr. Sandra G. Solaiman 105 Milbank Hall

Tuskegee University

Tuskegee, AL 36088

Phone: (334) 727-8401 Fax: (334) 727-8552

ssolaim@tuskegee.edu

Publication No. 07-09